PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATEN

PATENTABILITY
WIPO PCT

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty) WIPO

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/PEA/416		
2003M091		See Fulli FOT/IFEA/416		
International application No. PCT/EP2004/009357	International filing date (day/month/year) 20.08.2004	Priority date (day/month/year) 29.08.2003		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC				
C07C69/80, C07C67/08, C07C67/48, H01B3/44				
Applicant				
EXXONMOBIL CHEMICAL PATENTS INC. et al.				
This report is the international p Authority under Article 35 and tr	reliminary examination report, established ansmitted to the applicant according to Art	by this International Preliminary Examining icle 36.		
2. This REPORT consists of a tota	of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.			
3. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:				
_	to the International Bureau) a total of she			
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).				
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.				
b. 🛘 (sent to the International	b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)) containing			
sequence listing and/or to	ables related thereto, in computer readable ce Listing (see Section 802 of the Administ	e form only, as indicated in the Supplemental		
Box Holding to dequent	be Listing (see Section 602 of the Administ	rauve instructions).		
4. This report contains indications	relating to the following items:	· · · · ·		
☐ Box No. I Basis of the o	ninion			
	F			
☐ Box No. II Priority				
	ment of opinion with regard to novelty, inv	entive step and industrial applicability		
	ment of opinion with regard to novelty, invo	entive step and industrial applicability		
☐ Box No. III Non-establish ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity o ☑ Box No. V Reasoned sta	of invention Itement under Article 35(2) with regard to r	novelty, inventive step or industrial		
☐ Box No. III Non-establish ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity o ☑ Box No. V Reasoned sta	of invention Itement under Article 35(2) with regard to r Citations and explanations supporting such	novelty, inventive step or industrial		
☐ Box No. III Non-establish ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of Box No. V Reasoned state applicability; of Box No. VI Certain documents.	of invention Itement under Article 35(2) with regard to r Citations and explanations supporting such	novelty, inventive step or industrial		
☐ Box No. III Non-establish ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of Box No. V Reasoned state applicability; of Box No. VI Certain documents of Box No. VII Certain defections.	of invention Itement under Article 35(2) with regard to r Sitations and explanations supporting such Inents cited	novelty, inventive step or industrial		
☐ Box No. III Non-establish ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of Box No. V Reasoned state applicability; of Box No. VI Certain documtion Box No. VII Certain defect ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observing	of invention Itement under Article 35(2) with regard to resitations and explanations supporting such nents cited Its in the International application vations on the international application	novelty, inventive step or industrial statement		
☐ Box No. III Non-establish ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of Box No. V Reasoned state applicability; of Box No. VI Certain documents of Box No. VII Certain defections.	of invention tement under Article 35(2) with regard to r citations and explanations supporting such nents cited ts in the international application	novelty, inventive step or industrial statement		
☐ Box No. III Non-establish ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of Box No. V Reasoned state applicability; of Box No. VI Certain documtion Box No. VII Certain defect ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observing	of invention Itement under Article 35(2) with regard to resitations and explanations supporting such nents cited Its in the International application vations on the international application	novelty, inventive step or industrial statement		
Box No. III Non-establish Box No. IV Lack of unity of Box No. V Reasoned state applicability; of Box No. VI Certain document Box No. VII Certain defect Box No. VIII Certain obsertion Date of submission of the demand 29.06.2005 Name and mailing address of the internation	of invention Itement under Article 35(2) with regard to resitations and explanations supporting such ments cited Its in the International application vations on the international application Date of completic 29.11.2005	novelty, inventive step or industrial statement . on of this report		
□ Box No. III Non-establish □ Box No. IV Lack of unity of Box No. V Reasoned state applicability; of Box No. VI Certain documtion □ Box No. VII Certain defect □ Box No. VIII Certain obsertion □ Box	of invention Itement under Article 35(2) with regard to resitations and explanations supporting such ments cited Its in the International application vations on the international application Date of completic 29.11.2005	novelty, inventive step or industrial statement . on of this report		
Box No. III Non-establish Box No. IV Lack of unity of Box No. V Reasoned state applicability; of Box No. VI Certain document Box No. VII Certain defect Box No. VIII Certain obsertion Date of submission of the demand 29.06.2005 Name and mailing address of the internation	of invention Interment under Article 35(2) with regard to resitations and explanations supporting such ments cited Its in the International application vations on the international application Date of completion 29.11.2005 Conal Authorized Office	novelty, inventive step or industrial statement . on of this report		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/009357

	Dan No. 1	
_	Box No. I	Basis of the report
1.	With regard t	o the language , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it wantherwise indicated under this item.
	☐ intern	ort is based on translations from the original language into the following language, the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of: (ational search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) (ation of the international application (under Rule 12.4) (ational preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)
		o the elements* of the international application, this report is based on <i>(replacement sheets whici rnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this ginally filed" and are not annexed to this report):</i>
	Description, P	ages
	1-30	as originally filed
	Claims, Numb	ers
	1-35	as originally filed
	Drawings, She	eets
	1/2-2/2	as originally filed
	□ a sequen	ce listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing
3.	☐ the de☐ the cla☐ the dra☐ the se	ndments have resulted in the cancellation of: scription, pages tims, Nos. awings, sheets/figs quence listing (specify): ble(s) related to sequence listing (specify):
4.	Supplemental the definition the cla the drawn the drawn.	wings, sheets/figs
	☐ any tal	quence listing (specify): - Dle(s) related to sequence listing (specify):
	* If item	4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/009357

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

7-28

No: Claims

1-6,29-35

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-35

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-35

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

D1: US-A-5 880 310 D2: GB-A-1 096 917 D3: US-A-5 798 319 D4: US-A-4 284 793 D5: US-B1-6 437 170

D6: PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 2000, no. 24, 11 May 2001 (2001-05-11)

& JP 2001 206866 A

SECTION V:

•)

- 1. Claims 1-6 directed to a di-alkyl phthalate compound characterised by a degree of specified features meet an objection under Article 33(2) PCT in view of the prior art documents D1-D6 which all describe this class of compounds in connection also with the indicated degree of chemical purity (see D1: column 3, lines 29-30 and 41-43; Examples, Tables 1, 2 and 3; see D2: Examples I to IV; see D3: column 5, line 24; column 15, Table 1, lines 36-39; claims, in particular claims 1-9; see D4: Examples; Table 1, 2 and 3; see D5: column 2, lines 25-31; column 12, Example 2; claims; see D6: "abstract" and Figure 1, Table). Accordingly, the documents cited describe <u>pure</u> compounds which are not contaminated by a light ends content and which have been measured with regard to the acid value and the volume resistivity.
- 2. Claims 7-28 directed to a process for the production of a plasticiser ester are considered not to meet an objection under Article 33(3) PCT in view of the combined teachings of D5 and D3 / D4 (see D3: column 6, lines 1-3; see D4: column 2, lines 23-48). D5 describes the step of neutralizing the crude diester compound with an aqueous alkali, such as aqueous sodium hydroxide, forming a two-phase mixture, separating off the aqueous phase and washing the organic phase. For further purification, the neutralized and washed diester is stripped to form a stripped material, and this material is further treated with an adsorbent, such as activated carbon, followed by filtration via a filter aid (see D5: column 12, lines 3-32, in particular 29-32 for indications regarding the quality of the final compound). As noted by the Applicant the document D5 does not indicate a filter step between the steps of treating with a base and stripping (see step (iii) in claim 7). This step is however indicated in D3 and/or D4 in the context of the "filtration of solids from the ester mixture containing the bulk of the excess alcohol used in the esterification" (see D3)

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/009357

and in the context of a general method in which "an ester is contacted with a large excess of a solid alkali in a treating vessel and the excessive alkali is recovered by providing a solid-liquid separator" (see D4).

The inventive step objection for the above-mentioned set of claims is also raised in view of the teachings from the documents D1-D4 and D6:

D1 describes the process for the production of a plasticiser ester comprising esterifying an acid or an anhydride with an alcohol to form an ester compound, treating the crude ester with a base to form a treated ester, recovering the excess alcohol. The resulting product is then purified through a combination of finefiltration using a filter aid and adsorption treatment, so that a plasticizer ester having an excellent volume resistivity values and an acid value well below 0.2 mg KOH/g is obtained (see D1: Tables 1, 2, 3). D2 describes treating the crude ester product with an adsorbent, and filtering the product "through a bed of kieselguhr supported on sintered glass".

D3 describes the addition of an adsorbent to the reaction mixture following esterification. Addition of water and base, removal of the water, filtration of solids from the ester mixture, removal of excess alcohol and removal of any residual solid from the stripped ester provides an ester product with a lower total acid number and a higher volume resistivity. D4 has a feature in the treatment of the ester with a solid alkali, followed by treatment with an adsorbing agent and then filtration. The results provided in D4 show a lower acid value of 0.002 mg KOH/g and a high volume resistivity.

D6 also describes the steps comprising the removal of the monohydric alcohol compound and the use of an adsorbent followed by filtration. The acid number and the volume resistivity are shown in the Table provided along with the abstract.

The difference between the process described in the application and the processes described in the prior art documents D1-D4 and D6 is based only on a different sequence of the purification steps. For example the difference with respect to D1 is that in D1 the filtration is carried out only at a latter moment, and thus that undesired "solids could be present in the reaction mixture during the stripping procedure" (see the application on page 5, lines 3-8). On the other hand, in view of the fact that a filtration step before or after treatment with an adsorbent does not seem connected with an unexpected and surprising effect and, in this context, in view of the fact that modifications in purification up procedures, as described in the art, provide final ester compounds of similar high quality, an inventive step cannot be recognized.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/009357

- 3. Claims 29 33 directed to a method for purifying a plasticiser ester "which comprises forming a mixture of the ester and an adsorbent and subsequently filtering the mixture" as well as to the use of a mixture of activated carbon and filter aid in the purification by filtration of a plasticiser ester" meet an objection under Article 33(2) PCT in view of the prior art documents D1-D6 (see D1: column 5, lines 7-15; see D2: page 1, lines 57-63; see D3: claims, e.g. claim 3; see D4: claim 1; see D5: column 12, lines 23-28; see D6: "abstract"/ compare the application on page 14, lines 13-16 and page 16, line 30, "the adsorbent, e.g. active carbon").
- 4. Claims 34 and 35 directed to a polyvinyl chloride composition and its use meet also an objection under Article 33(2) PCT in view of the prior art documents D1-D6 (see for example D1: column 1, lines 14-21; see D5: column 2, lines 25-31; column 12 to column 13, line 3).